

# Assignment 1

**Due: Monday 22 October 5pm Philosophy Office**

1. Describe the relationship between logical consequence, CSL-semantic consequence, and CSL-proof theoretic consequence for sentences in  $S^*$

2a. Suppose  $m$  is a meaning interpretation that maps  $p_0$  to the proposition that Obama is a bachelor,  $p_1$  to the proposition that Hong Kong is in Asia, and  $p_2$  to the proposition that  $2+2=4$ . What does

$(p_2 \ \& \ (p_1 \supset p_0))$

mean under  $m$ ? Is it true under  $m$ ?

2b. Suppose  $v$  is a CSL-interpretation that maps  $p_0$  to 0, and  $p_1$  to 1, and  $p_2$  to 1. Is

$(p_2 \ \& \ (p_1 \supset p_0))$

true under  $v$ ?

3. Ex 1b (Priest p. 19)

4. Ex 1d (Priest p. 19)

5. Ex 1h (Priest p. 19)

## **Note on difference in terminology between lecture notes and Priest**

When Priest writes 'semantic consequence' in Ch1, he means what I mean by 'CSL-semantic consequence'.

When Priest writes 'proof-theoretic consequence' in Ch1, he means what I mean by 'CSL-proof theoretic consequence'.

Priest does not explicitly discuss the notion I call logical consequence